

**BEFORE THE INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
OF THE STATE OF UTAH**

IN RE THE APPLICATION OF:

JACOB L. PANHORST
2079 South 160 East
Orem, UT 84058

License Pending

ORDER ON HEARING
(Formal Hearing)

DOCKET No. 2010-0107-LC
Enf. Case No. 2653

Mark E. Kleinfield,
Presiding Officer

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THIS MATTER concerning whether the Applicant should be issued a Resident Producer Individual license came on to be heard before the Commissioner of the Utah State Insurance Department ("*Department*") on Monday, July 26, 2010 at 9:00 o'clock A. M. Mountain Time, with Mark E. Kleinfield, Administrative Law Judge, serving as designated Presiding Officer.

Said hearing being held at the Department's offices, Utah State Office Building, Room 3112, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114, having been convened at the designated time of 9:00 (10:43) A. M., August 25, 2009 and adjourned at 10:59 A. M. on said same day.

Appearances:

M. Gale Lemmon, Assistant Attorney General, State of Utah, State Office Building, Room 3110, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114.

Jacob L. Panhorst, Applicant, *pro se*.

By the Presiding Officer:

Pursuant to a July 6, 2010 "*Notice of Conversion to Formal Proceeding and Notice of Hearing*" a hearing was conducted on July 26, 2010 in the above-entitled proceeding. The Applicant was present at that time.

The hearing was convened and conducted as a **formal hearing** in accordance with Utah Code Ann. Sections 63G-4-204, 63G-4-205, 63G-4-206, 63G-4-207 and 63G-4-208 and Administrative Rule R590-160-6.

ISSUE, BURDEN and "STANDARD OF PROOF"

1. The basic issue(s) in this case is (are):

a. Was Applicant's application for a Resident Producer Individual license improperly denied?

b. Has the Applicant presented sufficient evidence to show that the Department's denial was not justified on the record?

c. Has the Applicant presented sufficient evidence that would justify the reversal of such denial?

(SEE also Paragraph 2 under *DISCUSSION-ANALYSIS*.)

2. The "*burden of proof*" or "*burden of going forward*" in this case as to the above issue(s) is on the Applicant.

3. As per Utah Administrative Code Rule, R590-160-5(10) as to the above and foregoing "issue(s)" or "question(s)" to be answered the "*standard of proof*" as to issues of fact is to be proven by a "*preponderance of the evidence*".

The Department waived an opening statement. The Applicant first reserved then waived an opening statement.

Thereafter, evidence was offered and received.

SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE

Witnesses:

For the Applicant:

1. Jacob L. Panhorst, Applicant.

For the Department:

1. Randall Overstreet, Director, Producer Licensing Division, Utah Insurance Department, State Office Building, Room 3110, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114.

Both of whom were sworn and testified.

Exhibits:

The Department offered the following exhibits:

1. **State's Exhibit No.s 1 through 9.** (SEE file).

(No objection being made all of which were accepted and entered.)

The Applicant offered the following exhibits:

No formal exhibits were presented by the Applicant.

Additionally the Presiding Officer took judicial notice of the files and records of the Department particularly the Applicant's February 12, 2010 application; the Department's March 4, 2010 denial letter and Applicant's March 17, 2010 request for hearing.

Argument followed.

The Presiding Officer being fully advised in the premises and taking administrative notice of the files and records of the Department, now enters his *Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order*, on behalf of the Department:

FINDINGS OF FACT

I, find by a preponderance of the evidence, the following facts:

Preliminary-Procedural Facts (Paragraphs 1-7)

1. The Utah Insurance Department (“Department”) is a governmental entity of the State of Utah. The Department as per Utah Code Ann. Section 31A-2-101 is empowered to administer the *Insurance Code*, Title 31A, Utah Code Ann., 1953, as amended.

2. The Applicant, Jacob L. Panhorst:

a. is a resident of the State of Utah and maintains a present residence of 2079 South 160 East, Orem, Utah 84058; and

b. has not previously been nor is presently licensed by the Department to conduct or be engaged in any capacity in the insurance business in the State of Utah.

3. The Applicant on or about February 12, 2010 filed his application with the Department for issuance of a “*Resident Producer Individual License*”. (SEE Administrative file.)

4. The Department on or about March 4, 2010 in writing denied Applicant's application for “one or more of the following reasons:

“Failure to meet the character requirements for licensing pursuant to Utah Code Annotated (UCA) Section 31A-23a-107;

5. That included in said denial were instructions informing Applicant of the right to an “*informal hearing*” if a timely request is made in writing within fifteen (15) days.

6. The Applicant under date of March 17, 2010 filed a “*request for hearing*” with the Department. (SEE Administrative file.)

7. That based on the preliminary facts as set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 6, immediately above, through means of a July 6, 2010 “*Notice of Conversion to Formal Proceedings and Notice of Hearing*”, *sua sponte*, mailed to the Applicant at his referenced address this present formal hearing was set for July 26, 2010 at 9:00 A. M. Mountain Time.

Operative Facts
(Paragraphs 8 -9)

8. The Applicant is a resident of the State of Utah.

9. The Applicant:

a. has been arrested, convicted and or plead guilty to numerous misdemeanors and felonies, primarily drug offenses, over the time frame of 2001 up to as recently as June 2010;

b. did disclose his criminal background on his February 12, 2010 application.

DISCUSSION-ANALYSIS

(Paragraphs 1-8)

1.a. Both the Applicant and the Department in large measure while advocating **clearly** different characterizations or interpretations and import of the above referenced operative facts in substance concurred as to the basic *chronology* and **core** facts.

b. The record now being complete sets forth competent and credible evidence for the entry of the following analysis.

2. The question(s) presented is:

a. “Whether the Applicant has presented sufficient evidence to show that the

Department's March 4, 2010 letter of denial of the Applicant's February 12, 2010 application for licensure as a "*Resident Producer Individual*" was not justified on the record?";

b. "Whether the Applicant has presented sufficient evidence that would justify the reversal of such March 4, 2010 denial?"; and

c. "Whether as per U. A. C. Rule, R590-160-5(10) as to each of the above and foregoing "issues" or "questions" the Applicant has so shown such evidence by a "*preponderance of the evidence*" sufficient to carry Applicant's burden of proof?"

3. Primary Applicable Pertinent Statutes, Administrative Rules and Precedent are as follows (although others may be otherwise specifically cited within the body of this "Order on Hearing"):

a. Section 31A-23a-107, Utah Code Ann., reads as follows:

"31A-23a-107. Character requirements.

Each applicant for a license under this chapter shall show to the commissioner that:

(1) the applicant has the intent in good faith, to engage in the type of business that the license applied for would permit;

(2) if a natural person, the applicant is competent and trustworthy; or, if the applicant is an agency, all the partners, directors, or principal officers or persons having comparable powers are trustworthy, and that it will transact business in such a way that all acts that may only be performed by a licensed producer, limited line producer, customer service representative, consultant, managing general agent, or reinsurance intermediary are performed exclusively by natural persons who are licensed under this chapter to transact that type of business and designated on the agency's license;

(3) the applicant intends to comply with Section 31A-23a-502; and

(4) if a natural person, the applicant is at least 18 years of age."

4. The Applicant's criminal record is extensive and primarily drug related.

5. The Applicant's record has proceedings as recent as June 2010 for contempt. This being after the filing of his application

6. a. Without belaboring Applicant's history it is the Applicant's apparent ongoing attitude or approach of minimizing and or blame-shifting revolving around a basic pre-requisite to work in any capacity in any profession or occupation or business venture, especially the insurance business ----- *the ability to tell the truth and be honest.*

b. The characteristic of trustworthiness is **the** prime character qualification of Section 31A-23a-107, U. C. A., for all other characteristics requisite to engage in the insurance industry for the protection of the public interest of necessity flow from it.

7. The Department in licensing the Applicant or any individual in comparable circumstances to the Applicant would be breaching its responsibilities to the public.

8. a. The Presiding Officer while having heard the witnesses and reviewed the documentary evidence cannot peer into the heart, mind and conscience of any witness to assist him or her in making the most appropriate decision. The Presiding Officer can only look at and weigh the **present** evidence before him.

b. Here in the **present** instance the burden is/was on the Applicant to:

i. **Present** sufficient evidence to show that the Department's denial was not justified on the record; and

ii. **Present** sufficient evidence that would justify the reversal of such denial.

c. This the Applicant has failed to do.

d. The Applicant's February 12, 2010 application was properly denied based on the record before the Department.

BASED ON THE ABOVE AND FOREGOING FINDINGS OF FACT and discussion-analysis the Presiding Officer enters the following:

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Applicant having been convicted of multiple offenses cumulatively indicates a lack of respect for the rule of law and thereby a lack of being “*trustworthy*” as required by the character qualifications of Section 31A-23a-107, UCA, 1953, as amended.

2. The Applicant does not meet the character qualifications for licensing outlined in Section 31A-23a-107, UCA, 1953, as amended.

3. The issuance of a “*Resident Producer Individual*” license would be in contravention of the intent and purpose of Section 31A-23a-107, UCA , which based on “Conclusions of Law” No.s 1 and 2, immediately above, the Department in the practice of good public policy and the protection of the public welfare cannot at this time do.

4. The Department’s “*letter of denial*” under date of March 4, 2010 should be affirmed.

5. The Applicant's February 12, 2010 application for licensure as a “*Resident Producer Individual*” should be denied.

AND BASED ON THE ABOVE AND FOREGOING CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

the Presiding Officer enters the following:

ORDER

WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The Department’s “*letter of denial*” under date of March 4, 2010 is **affirmed**;
and

2. The Applicant's February 12, 2010 application for licensure as a "Resident Producer Individual" is **denied**.

DATED and ENTERED this 6 day of December, 2010.

**NEAL T. GOOCH,
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER**



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Mark E. Kleinfeld', is written over a horizontal line.

MARK E. KLEINFELD
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE and
PRESIDING OFFICER

Utah Insurance Department
State Office Building, Room 3110
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114
Telephone: (801) 537-9246
Facsimile: (801) 538-3829
Email: MKleinfeld@utah.gov

ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY REVIEW

Administrative Agency Review of this Order may be obtained by filing a Petition for Review with the Commissioner of the Utah Insurance Department within thirty (30) days of the date of entry of said Order consistent with Utah Code Ann. Section 63G-4-301 and Administrative Rule R590-160-8.

Failure to seek agency review shall be considered a failure to exhaust administrative remedies.

(R590-160-8 and Section 63G-4-401)

JUDICIAL REVIEW

As an "Formal Hearing" after agency review judicial review of this Order may be obtained by filing a petition for such review consistent with Utah Code Ann. Section 63G-4-403.

ADMINH.I/A.dec.12-xx-10



Insurance Department

State of Utah

GARY R. HERBERT
Governor

GREG BELL
Lieutenant Governor

NEAL T. GOOCH
Commissioner

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I do hereby certify that on this date I mailed, by regular mail, postage prepaid a true and correct copy of the attached:

ORDER ON HEARING

To the following:

Jacob L. Panhorst
2079 South 160 East
Orem, UT 84058

DATED this 8th day of December, 2010

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Linda Hardy", written over a horizontal line.

Linda Hardy
Utah Department of Insurance
State Office Building, Room 3110
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-6901