# BEFORE THE INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

# OF THE STATE OF UTAH

IN RE THE APPLICATION OF:

ORDER ON HEARING

(Formal Hearing)

SHILO PLATTS



License Pending

DOCKET No. 2012-108 -LC Enf. Case No. 3174

> Mark E. Kleinfield, Presiding Officer

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

THIS MATTER concerning whether the Applicant should be issued a Resident Producer Individual license came on to be heard before the Commissioner of the Utah State Insurance Department ("Department") on Tuesday, July 31, 2012 at 1:00 o'clock P. M. Mountain Time, with Mark E. Kleinfield, Administrative Law Judge, serving as designated Presiding Officer.

Said hearing being held at the Department's offices, Utah State Office Building, Room 3112, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114, having been convened at the designated time of 1:00 P. M., July 31, 2012 and adjourned at 1:41 P. M. on said same day.

#### Appearances:

M. Gale Lemmon, Assistant Attorney General, State of Utah, State Office Building, Room 3110, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114.

#### By the Presiding Officer:

Pursuant to a July 10, 2012 "Notice of Conversion to Formal Proceeding and Notice of Hearing" a hearing was conducted on July 31, 2012 in the above-entitled proceeding. The Applicant was not present at that time.

The hearing was convened and conducted as a **formal hearing** in accordance with Utah Code Ann. Sections 63G-4-204, 63G-4-205, 63G-4-206, 63G-4-207 and 63G-4-208 and Administrative Rule R590-160-6.

# ISSUE, BURDEN and "STANDARD OF PROOF"

- 1. The basic issue(s) in this case is (are):
- a. Was Applicant's application for a Resident Producer Individual license improperly denied?
- b Has the Applicant presented sufficient evidence to show that the Department's denial was not justified on the record?
- c. Has the Applicant presented sufficient evidence that would justify the reversal of such denial?

(SEE also Paragraph 2 under DISCUSSION-ANALYSIS.)

- 2. The "burden of proof" or "burden of going forward" in this case as to the above issue(s) is on the Applicant.
- 3. As per <u>Utah Administrative Code</u> Rule, R590-160-5(10) as to the above and foregoing "issue(s)" or "question(s)" to be answered the "standard of proof" as to issues of fact is to be proven by a "preponderance of the evidence".

The Department waived an opening statement. The Applicant failed to appear and his default was entered. Thereafter, evidence was offered and received.

# **SUMMARY OF THE EVIDENCE**

#### Witnesses:

For the Applicant:

NONE.

For the Department:

No formal witnesses were presented.

The Complainant was permitted to pro-offer.

#### **Exhibits**:

The Department offered the following exhibits:

1. State's Exhibits No. "A", "B" and "C". (SEE file).

(No objection being made which was accepted and entered.)

The Applicant offered the following exhibits:

NONE.

Additionally the Presiding Officer took judicial notice of the files and records of the Department particularly the Applicant's May 8, 2012 application, including an initial fax-letter of explanation (UNDATED) as to a "Yes" answer; the Department's June 13, 2012 denial letter and Applicant's June 14, 2012 for hearing.

Brief argument by the Complianant followed.

The Presiding Officer being fully advised in the premises and taking administrative notice of the files and records of the Department, now enters his *Findings of Fact*, *Conclusions of Law, and Order*, on behalf of the Department:

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

#### I, find by a preponderance of the evidence, the following facts:

Preliminary-Procedural Facts
(Paragraphs 1-7)

- 1. The Utah Insurance Department ("Department") is a governmental entity of the State of Utah. The Department as per <u>Utah Code Ann.</u> Section 31A-2-101 is empowered to administer the *Insurance Code*, Title 31A, <u>Utah Code Ann.</u>, 1953, as amended.
  - 2. The Applicant, Shilo Platts:
    - a. is a resident of the State of Utah and maintains a present residence of

; and

- b. has not previously been nor is presently licensed by the Department to conduct or be engaged in any capacity in the insurance business in the State of Utah.
- 3. The Applicant on or about May 8, 2012 filed his application with the Department for issuance of a "Resident Producer Individual License". (SEE Administrative file.)
- 4. The Department on or about June 13, 2012 in writing denied Applicant's application for "one or more of the following reasons:
- "<u>UCA 31A-23a-105(1)(b)(vi)</u> committing an act that is a ground for denial of a license."
  - "UCA 31A-23a-107 failure to meet the character requirements for licensing."
- "<u>UCA 31A-23a-111(5)(b)(iv)</u> failure to pay one a final judgment rendered against you in this state."
  - "UCA 31A-23a-111(5)(b)(i) unqualified fo a license."
- 5. That included in said denial were instructions informing Applicant of the right to an "informal hearing" if a timely request is made in writing within fifteen (15) days.
- 6. The Applicant under date of June 14, 2012 filed a "request for hearing" with the Department. (SEE Administrative file.)

7. That based on the preliminary facts as set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 6, immediately above, through means of an initial July 10, 2012 "Notice of Conversion to Formal Proceedings and Notice of Hearing", sua sponte, and later continuances mailed to the Applicant at his referenced address this present formal hearing was held on July 31, 2012 at 1:00 P. M. Mountain Time.

#### Operative Facts (Paragraphs 8 -9)

- 8. The Applicant is a resident of the State of Utah.
- 9. The Applicant:
- a. has been sued civilly in the 3<sup>rd</sup> District Court, Salt Lake County, Utah and had a judgment in the amount of \$1,769.27 entered against him on November 3, 2011, which has not been released; and
- b. has had at least one (1) criminal misdemeanor class "B" (Theft) conviction entered against him in June 2011, which was affirmed on appeal as of January 2012 as a result of withdrawl of a pending appeal

# DISCUSSION-ANALYSIS (Paragraphs 1-6)

- 1.a. Both the Applicant and the Department in large measure while advocating different characterizations, emphasis or interpretations and import of the above referenced operative facts in substance concurred as to the basic chronology and core facts.
- b. The record now being complete sets forth competent and credible evidence for the entry of the following analysis.

#### 2. The question(s) presented is:

- a. "Whether the Applicant has presented sufficient evidence to show that the Department's June 13, 2012 letter of denial of the Applicant's May 8, 2012 application for licensure as a "Resident Producer Individual" was not justified on the record?":
- b. "Whether the Applicant has presented sufficient evidence that would justify the reversal of such June 13, 2012 denial?"; and
- c. "Whether as per U. A. C. Rule, R590-160-5(10) as to each of the above and foregoing "issues" or "questions" the Applicant has so shown such evidence by a "preponderance of the evidence" sufficient to carry Applicant's burden of proof?"
- 3. <u>Primary Applicable Pertinent Statutes</u>, <u>Administrative Rules and Precedent</u> are as follows (although others may be otherwise specifically cited within the body of this "Order on Hearing"):

Section 31A-23a-107, Utah Code Ann., reads as follows:

#### "31A-23a-107. Character requirements.

Each applicant for a license under this chapter shall show to the commissioner that:

- (1) the applicant has the intent in good faith, to engage in the type of business that the license applied for would permit;
- (2) if a natural person, the applicant is competent and trustworthy; or, if the applicant is an agency, all the partners, directors, or principal officers or persons having comparable powers are trustworthy, and that it will transact business in such a way that all acts that may only be performed by a licensed producer, limited line producer, customer service representative, consultant, managing general agent, or reinsurance intermediary are performed exclusively by natural persons who are licensed under this chapter to transact that type of business and designated on the agency's license;
  - (3) the applicant intends to comply with Section 31A-23a-502; and
  - (4) if a natural person, the applicant is at least 18 years of age."

- 4. a. Acknowledging the Applicant disclosed such and supplied explanations of his past history both the civil judgment and criminal convictions revolve around a basic prerequisite to work in any capacity in any profession or occupation or business venture, especially the insurance business ---- the ability to tell the truth and be honest.
- b. The characteristic of trustworthiness is **the** prime character qualification of Section 31A-23a-107, <u>U. C. A.</u>, for all other characteristics requisite to engage in the insurance industry for the protection of the public interest of necessity flow from it.
- c. It is noted that both circumstances took place less than a year prior to the Applicant's May 8, 2012 application
- 5. The Department in licensing the Applicant or any individual in comparable circumstances to the Applicant would be breaching its responsibilities to the public.
- 6. a. The Presiding Officer having heard the witnesses and reviewed the documentary evidence can only look at and weigh the **present** evidence before him.
  - b. Here in the **present** instance the burden is/was on the Applicant to:
- i. Present sufficient evidence to show that the Department's denial was not justified on the record; and
  - ii. Present sufficient evidence that would justify the reversal of such denial.
  - c. This the Applicant has failed to do.
- d. The Applicant's May 8, 2012 application was properly denied based on the record before the Department.

\*\*\*\*

#### BASED ON THE ABOVE AND FOREGOING FINDINGS OF FACT and

discussion-analysis the Presiding Officer enters the following:

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. The Applicant's relatively recent past history indicates a lack of respect for the rule of law and thereby a lack of being "*trustworthy*" as required by the character qualifications of Section 31A-23a-107, <u>UCA</u>,1953, as amended.
- 2. The Applicant does not meet the character qualifications for licensing outlined in Section 31A-23a-107, <u>UCA</u>, 1953, as amended.
- 3. The issuance of a "Resident Producer Individual" license would be in contravention of the intent and purpose of Section 31A-23a-107, UCA, which based on "Conclusions of Law" No.s 1 and 2, immediately above, the Department in the practice of good public policy and the protection of the public welfare cannot at this time do.
- 4. The Department's "letter of denial" under date of June 13, 2012 should be affirmed.
- 5. The Applicant's May 8, 2012 application for licensure as a "Resident Producer Individual" should be denied.

AND BASED ON THE ABOVE AND FOREGOING CONCLUSIONS OF LAW the Presiding Officer enters the following:

# <u>ORDER</u>

#### WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The Department's "letter of denial" under date of June 13, 2012 is **affirmed**; and

2. The Applicant's may 8, 2012 application for licensure as a "Resident

Producer Individual" is denied.

DATED and ENTERED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of November, 2012.

## NEAL T. GOOCH, INSURANCE COMMISSIONER



MARK E. KLEINFIELD

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE and

PRESIDING OFFICER

Utah Insurance Department

State Office Building, Room 3110

Salt Lake City, Utah 84114

Telephone: (801) 537-9246

Facsimile: (801) 538-3829 Email: MKleinfield@utah.gov

\*\*\*\*\*

# ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY REVIEW

Administrative Agency Review of this Order may be obtained by filing a Petition for Review with the Commissioner of the Utah Insurance Department within thirty (30) days of the date of entry of said Order consistent with <u>Utah Code Ann.</u> Section 63G-4-301 and <u>Administrative Rule</u> R590-160-8.

Failure to seek agency review shall be considered a failure to exhaust administrative remedies.

(R590-160-8 and Section 63G-4-401)

# JUDICIAL REVIEW

As an "Formal Hearing" after agency review judicial review of this Order may be obtained by filing a petition for such review consistent with <u>Utah Code Ann.</u> Section 63G-4-403.

ADMINH.Platts.I/A.dec.11-xx-12

# **CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I do hereby certify that on this date I mailed, by regular mail, postage prepaid a true and correct copy of the attached:

### ORDER ON HEARING

To the following:

Shilo Platts

DATED this 5th day of November, 2012

Linda Hardy

Utah Department of Insurance State Office Building, Room 3110

Salt Lake City, UT 84114-6901